



Improved Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Water by SPME and GC/MS: ISO Standard 17943

Frank Michel¹, Yong Chen², Robert Shirey²

- ¹ Merck, Taufkirchen, Germany
- ² Merck, Bellefonte PA, USA

The analysis of water for volatile organic compounds is important due to their toxicity. The current methods for this determination lack of sensitivity, selectivity or capability for automation. This paper presents the new ISO 17943 Standard using Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) and GC/MS. The sample preparation by SPME enables limits of detection and easy automation of the whole method. GC/MS provides the required sensitivity and selectivity. This ISO Standard was validated by an interlaboratory trial, which results confirm the outstanding performance for this method.

Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) can occur from natural sources such as plant scents. But a large amount of VOCs do have anthropogenic origin, because they are emitted from products of daily use or emitted during the manufacturing of such products as well as from polymers, adhesives, paints, petroleum products or pharmaceuticals. Typical applications for VOCs are additives for gasoline or use as solvents and hydraulic fluids or for dry-cleaning. As many VOCs are toxic or are known or suspected human carcinogens, contamination of water resources is a serious human-health concern worldwide.

Due to this, many international regulations have been set up to limit and control the amount of VOCs in drinking water, ground water or surface water. Examples for such regulations are the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)¹ in the USA and a corresponding law in Canada. The regulations establish national standards for drinking water, including VOC listings that are based on health considerations. Another example is the European Council Directive 98/83/EC on the quality of water intended for human consumption that regulates the values for individual volatile organic substances².

In EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) in article 16 of the Directive 200/60/EC³ a "Strategy against pollution of water" is described. One measure to ensure good quality of water is a "phasing out" of priority hazardous

substances. The later published Annex X^4 lists many VOCs in the priority substance list. According to Directive 2008/105/EC (EQS Directive)⁵ environmental quality standards (EQS) values for single VOCs should be in the range of 0.4 to 20 μ g/L. In annex V of WFD (standards for monitoring of quality elements) the use of ISO and CEN standards for the analysis of water is required, if available.

The existing ISO and CEN standards for the determination of VOCs in water are not state of the art methods any more. ISO 103016 uses liquid/liquid extraction (LLE) in combination with gas chromatography (GC) and detection using flame ionization detection (FID) or electron capture detection (ECD). ISO 114237 employs for the determination of VOCs in water headspace (HS) sampling in combination with GC/FID or GC/ECD. For certain relevant VOCs the required limits of detection cannot be achieved using these ISO standards, because the detectors are not sensitive or selective enough. Furthermore, LLE is not considered to be of greater relevance for such analysis in today's world. ISO 156808 exhibits an alternative by using purge-and-trap enrichment and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis leading to better selectivity and limits of detection. The downside of purge-and-trap is the susceptibility of the trap for contamination and that automation is rather challenging to achieve9.



Improved Method for Determination of VOCs in Water by HS-SPME and GC/MS: ISO Standard 17943

Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) in combination with GC-MS is an attractive alternative for the determination of VOCs in water. SPME was developed by Janusz Pawliszyn in 1990 ¹⁰ (Figure 1). Since then SPME has gained broad acceptance in environmental, pharmaceutical and food analysis, demonstrated by the still growing number of publications on SPME developments and applications. The prevalence of this technique was additionally increased by the automation of SPME using regular GC autosamplers since 1993. The use of SPME for the extraction of VOCs out of water is described in several publications ¹¹⁻¹⁹. In these publications headspace SPME (HS-SPME) proved as a reliable and beneficial alternative to classical methods for VOC determination in water. Furthermore SPME is successfully used in many other official methods ²⁰⁻²⁴.

Due to this new ISO standard, 17943 was developed for VOCs in water. Scope of the standard is the determination of more than 60 VOCs from very different classes such as halogenated hydrocarbons, gasoline additives (like BTEX, MTBE and ETBE), volatile aromatic compounds and highly odorous substances like geosmin and 2-methylisoborneol in drinking water, ground water, surface water and treated waste water by HS-SPME and GC-MS. Of course the limit of detection depends on the matrix, on the specific compound and on the applied mass spectrometer, but for most compounds in ISO 17943, it is at least 0.01 μ g/L. Additional validation data derived from standardization work show applicability of the method within a concentration range from 0.01 μ g/L to 100 μ g/L for individual substances.

ISO Standard 17943 was derived from DIN 38407-41²⁵. This German standard method covered 25 VOCs only and was limited to drinking water, ground water and surface water. With the creation of this ISO standard, the scope was expanded to more than twice the number of VOCs and the matrixes nhave been extended to include treated waste water.

Figure 1: SPME fiber holder with fiber immersed into

aqueous sample



Global interlaboratory Trial for Validation of New ISO Standard 17943

Within the development of this new ISO standard an international interlaboratory trial was conducted to validate the new method 26. Each of the labs had to determine the concentration of 61 compounds (1,3,5-trichlorobenzene had to be excluded, because it is considered as a precursor for explosives compromising the shipment of the samples and m-xylene was excluded due to the high probability of co-elution with p-xylene) in the two water samples (one surface water, one waste water). The surface water sample was taken from an urban and industrialized area (river Ruhr in Muelheim, Germany) and did undergo a filtration using a glass fiber filter and stabilization with 50 mg/L sodium azide. The municipal waste water sample was taken from a plant effluent. This sample was pre-treated more comprehensively by sedimentation, by filtration through both 5 µm and 1 µm filters and irradiated by UV to avoid any degradation of the spiked analytes. Sterilization was conducted at 80 °C and final stabilization with 50 mg/L sodium azide. These samples have been spiked with concentrations unknown to the participating labs in the range of 0.02 – 0.80 μ g/L (~ 50 % < 0.10 μ g/L) for the surface water and 0.05 – 3.0 μ g/L ($\sim 50 \% <$ 0.50 µg/L) for the waste water. Prior to delivery to the participants the samples were tested for homogeneity and stability. The labs in the interlaboratory trial had to conduct four independent replicate analyses from each of the 2 samples strictly following the procedure as prescribed in the draft standard method. All laboratories were provided with a set of calibration solutions placed in three ampoules, each containing certified reference substances of the 61 VOCs dissolved in methanol. These stock solutions contained the individual substances in concentrations of 100 µg/mL each and were intended to serve for preparation of the corresponding aqueous multi-component reference solutions used for calibrating of the total procedure. The results had to be delivered within 30 days after receipt of the samples.

The Supelco Application Lab was one participant at the interlaboratory trial. The two water samples have been analyzed according to the drafted ISO Standard 17943 (Tables 1 and 2, Figure 2) using toluene-d₈, benzene-d₆ and fluorobenzene as internal standards. For the GC analysis, a VOCOL capillary GC column was used, which is an intermediate polarity column that is designed for analysis of VOCs and provides great retention and resolution of highly volatile compounds. For HS-SPME a DVB/CAR/PDMS fiber was used. This was also used by the majority of the interlaboratory trial participants. A smaller share of the labs used the CAR/PDMS fiber. According to ISO Standard 17943 both the Carboxen®/PDMS (85 μ m) and the DVB/ Carboxen®/PDMS (50/30 μ m) fiber can be used.

Table 1: Conditions for HS-SPME extraction

Sample volume:	10 mL
HS-Vial:	20 mL, addition of 3 g salt
SPME fiber:	DVB/CAR/PDMS, 24 gauge
Incubation time:	10 min @ 40 °C
Extraction time:	10 min @ 40 °C
Autosampler:	CTC CombiPAL (agitated by circular motion of the vial, velocity: 250 rpm)
Desorption/Injector:	10 min @ 270 °C

Table 2: Conditions for GC/MS analysis

GC:	Agilent® GC/MS
Column:	VOCOL, 60 m x 0.25 mm, 1.5 μm
Carrier gas:	Не
Flow:	1 mL/min
Injection:	Splitless, SPME liner w/ 0,75 mm ID
Oven program:	35 C, 1 min; 10 C/min to 150 C; 20 C/min to 250, 20 min
Sample:	61 VOCs, 1 ppm, in water plus three internal standards

Figure 2: Chromatogram of 61 VOCs in water after HS-SPME using a VOCOL GC column on Agilent® GC/MS

	Compound Name	RT (min)			Compound Name	RT (min)
	Vinyl chloride	5.8			Benzene, chloro-	15.975
	Ethene, 1,1-dichloro-	8.077			1,1,1-2-tetrachloroethane	15.983
	Methylene chloride	8.743			p-Xylene	16.573
	MTBE	8.819			o-Xylene	16.573
	Ethylene, 1,2-dichloro-, (E)-	9.113			Styrene	16.619
	Ethane, 1,1-dichloro-	9.667			2-ethyl- 5,5-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxane	16.695
	ETBE	9.834			Cumene	16.933
	Propane, 2,2-dichloro-	10.365			Bromoform	17.162
	Ethylene, 1,2-dichloro-, (Z)-	10.46			1,1,2,2,-tetrachloroethane	17.175
	Trichloromethane	10.649			1,2,3-trichloropropane	17.346
	Methane, bromochloro-	10.927			Benzene, propyl-	17.386
	Ethane, 1,1,1-trichloro-	11.166			Pseudocumene	17.544
	TAME	11.339			Bromobenzene	17.596
	1-Propene, 1,1-dichloro-	11.344			2-chlorotoluene	17.688
	Carbon Tetrachloride	11.533			4-chlorotoluene	17.688
	Ethane, 1,2-dichloro-	11.7			tert-butylbenzene	17.966
	Benzene	11.761			Mesitylene	18.015
	Trichloroethylene	12.491			sec-butylbenzene	18.173
	Propane, 1,2-dichloro-	12.722			p-cymene	18.32
	Methane, bromodichloro-	13.073			Benzene, 1,3-dichloro-	18.577
	Methane, dibromo-	13.21			Benzene, 1,4-dichloro-	18.698
	1-Propene, 1,3-dichloro-, (Z)-	13.671			Benzene, butyl-	18.807
	Toluene	14.119			Benzene, 1,2-dichloro-	19.17
	1-Propene, 1,3-dichloro-, (E)-	14.267			DBCP	20.145
1,2E+07 ¬	2-ethyl-4-methyl-1,3-dioxolane	14.311	1		2-Methylisoborneol	21.087
	Ethane, 1,1,2-trichloro-	14.52			1,2,4-trichloro-Benzene	21.257
	Propane, 1,3-dichloro-	14.817	11		Hexachlorobutadiene	21.386
	Tetrachloroethylene	14.946		1	Naphthalene	21.773
1,0E+07	Methane, dibromochloro-	15.277			Benzene, 1,3,5-trichloro-	22.113
	Ethane, 1,2-dibromo-	15.527			Geosmin	26.074
	Ethylbenzene	15.945				
8,0E+06 -						
6,0E+06 -	1					
4,0E+06 -						
				1 11 1	.	
2,0E+06 - 0.0E+00 -						

Evaluation of the Interlaboratory Trial


More than 40 labs from all over the world registered for this interlaboratory trial. Out of these a total of 27 labs reported results to be included in the evaluation process according ISO 5725-2²⁷. Nine laboratories did not submit any results. Six labs had to be excluded from the valuation due to significant deviation from the prescribed procedure in terms of calibrating without using internal standards (three laboratories) or other major deviations from the drafted ISO/CD 17943 (three laboratories). Some single results had to be excluded due to outliers.

All 61 parameters have been analyzed by ten labs and nearly all parameters have been analyzed by nine labs. Expressed in a different way, this resulted in the fact that nearly each of the 61 VOCs had been analyzed by more than 20 labs, which provides a very valid base for statistical evaluation. The data was analyzed for the overall mean of results (without outliers), the recovery

rate (from assigned value), the reproducibility (variation between different labs) and the repeatability (variation within a lab).

One example of such an evaluation is shown in **Figure 3** for 2-chlorotoluene. For this compound, results from 24 labs could be evaluated. The overall mean value (red line) is very close to the assigned value (blue line). The majority of the 24 labs, even those labs that have been new to SPME, achieved results very close to the assigned value. The recovery rate for more than 90% of the compounds is between 84 and 116% (surface water) and 81 and 118% (waste water). The reproducibility (variation between laboratories) is for more than 90% of the compounds less than 31% (surface water) and less than 35% (waste water) while the repeatability (variation within a lab) is for more than 90% of the compounds less than 10% (surface water) and less than 8% (waste water).

Figure 3: Graphical presentation of the results of participating labs at the interlaboratory trial for the validation of ISO 17943 at the example of 2-chlorotoluene.



The blue horizontal line is the assigned value, the red horizontal line is overall mean. Data from Report "ISO 17943 – interlaboratory trial for validation on VOCs (ISO/TC 147/SC 2)", IWW Water Centre, Muelheim an der Ruhr, December 2013.

Summary

The outstanding results in the interlaboratory trial underline the high performance, reliability and reproducibility of HS-SPME in combination with GC/MS for the determination of VOCs in water. The new ISO 17943 improves existing official methods for this determination in terms of sensitivity and selectivity. In addition to this capability, for full automation of SPME, the analysis can be run 24/7.

Product List

Cat. No.	Description
57334-U	SPME fiber assembly Carboxen®/Polydimethylsiloxane (CAR/PDMS) df 85 µm, needle size 24 ga, for use with manual holder, StableFlex™ fiber
57295-U	SPME fiber assembly Carboxen®/Polydimethylsiloxane (CAR/PDMS) df 85 µm, needle size 23 ga, StableFlex™, for use with autosampler
57328-U	SPME fiber assembly Divinylbenzene/Carboxen®/Polydimethylsiloxane (DVB/CAR/PDMS) needle size 24 ga, for use with manual holder
57298-U	SPME fiber assembly Divinylbenzene/Carboxen®/Polydimethylsiloxane (DVB/CAR/PDMS) needle size 23 ga, StableFlex™, for use with autosampler
57330-U	SPME Fiber Holder for use with manual sampling
57347-U	SPME Fiber Holder for use with CTC CombiPAL™, Gerstel® MPS2 and Thermo® TriPlus Autosamplers
24154	VOCOL® Capillary GC Column L \times I.D. 60 m \times 0.25 mm, df 1.50 μm
44926-U	ISO 17943 57 Component VOC Mix certified reference material, 200 μg/mL each component in methanol
44923-U	ISO 17943 Odor Compounds Mix certified reference material, 200 µg/mL each component in methanol, ampule of 1 mL
03824	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene certified reference material, TraceCERT® C ₆ H ₃ Cl ₃
48625	Vinyl chloride solution 200 μg/mL in methanol, analytical standard
SU860097	Headspace vial, screw top, rounded bottom (vial only) volume 20 mL, clear glass vial, thread 18, O.D. \times H 22.5 mm \times 75.5 mm, pkg of 100
SU860098	Headspace vial, screw top, rounded bottom (vial only) volume 20 mL, amber glass vial, thread 18, O.D. \times H 22.5 mm \times 75.5 mm, pkg of 100
SU860101	Magnetic Screw Cap for Headspace Vials, 18 mm thread PTFE/silicone septum (white PTFE/transparent blue silicone), septum thickness 1.3 mm, pkg of 100

Distributed By:





Greyhound Chromatography and Allied Chemicals 6 Kelvin Park, Birkenhead, Merseyside, CH41 1LT

Tel: +44 (0)151 649 4000 Fax: +44 (0)151 649 4001

Email: sales@greyhoundchrom.com

WWW.GREYHOUNDCHROM.COM

References

- [1] US Safe Drinking Water Act 55 (SDWA)
- [2] Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for human consumption. Official Journal of the European Communities, L330, p 32-54.
- [3] Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy. Official Journal of the European Communities, 22/12/2000, L327, p 1-73.
- [4] Decision No 2455/2001/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 November 2001 establishing the list of priority substances in the field of water policy and amending Directive 2000/60/EC. Official Journal of the Europe
- [5] Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the council of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/EEC, 84/491/EEC, 86/280/EEC and amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Official Journal of the European Union, 22/12/2000, L348, p 84-97.
- [6] International Standardization Organization. ISO 10301: Water quality -Determination of highly volatile halogenated hydrocarbons Gas chromatographic methods. Geneva, 1997.
- [7] International Standardization Organization. ISO 11423-1: Water quality Determination of benzene and some derivatives Part 1: Head-space gas chromatographic method. Geneva, 1997.
- [8] International Standardization Organization. ISO 15680: Water quality Gas chromatographic determination of a number of monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, naphthalene and several chlorinated compounds using purge and-trap and thermal desorption. Geneva, 2003.
- [9] Schmidt, T. C.: Analysis of methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) and tert-butyl alcohol (TBA) in ground and surface water. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 22 (2003) 776-784.
- [10] Arthur, C. L., Pawliszyn, J., Analytical Chemistry (1990), 62(19), 2145-8
- [11] Alonso, A., Fernández-Torroba, M. A., Tena, M. T., Pons ,B., Chromatographia, 57 (2003) 369-378.
- [12] Nakamura, S., Daishima, S., Anal. Chim. Acta, 548 (2005) 79-85.
- [13] Antoniou, V., Koukouraki, EE., Diamadopoulos, E., J. Chromatogr., 1132 (2006) 310-314.
- [14] San-Juan, PM., Carrillo, JD., Tena, MT., J. Chromatogr., 1139 (2007) 27-35.

- [15] Langenfeld, J.J., Hawthorne, S.B., Miller, D.J., Anal. Chem., 68 (1996) 144-155.
- [16] Popp, E, Paschke, A., Chromatographia, 46,(1997) 419-424.
- [17] Marczak, M., Wolska, L., Chrzanowski, W., Namiesnik, J., Microchim Acta 155 (2006) 331-348.
- [18] Achten, C., Kolb, A., Püttmann, W., Fresenius J. Anal. Chem., 371 (2001) 519–525.
- [19] Antelo, A., Lasa, M., Millán, E., Chromatographia, 66, (2007) 555-563.
- [20] ASTM D 6520, 2000. Standard Practice for the SPME of Water and its Headspace for the Analysis of Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
- [21] ASTM E 2154, 2001. Standard Practice for Separation and Concentration of Ignitable Liquid Residues from Fire Debris Samples by Passive Headspace Concentration with SPME
- [22] ASTM D 6889, 2003. Standard Practice for Fast Screening for Volatile Organic Compounds in Water Using SPME
- [23] EPA Method 8272 (Dec 2007). Parent and Alkyl Polycyclic Aromatics in Sediment Pore Water by SPME GC/MS
- [24] ISO 27108. Determination of selected plant treatment agents and biocide products Method using solid-phase microextraction (SPME) followed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)
- [25]German Institute for Standardization, E DIN 38407-41. German standard methods for the examination of water, waste water and sludge Jointly determinable substances (group F) Part 41: Determination of selected easily volatile organic compounds in water Method using gas chromatography (GC-MS) after headspace solid-phase micro extraction (HS SPME) (F41). Beuth Verlag GmbH, Berlin (2009)
- [26] Report ISO 17943 interlaboratory trial for validation on VOCs (ISO/TC 147/SC 2): Water quality Determination of volatile organic compounds in water Method using headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) followed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), IWW Water Centre Muelheim an der Ruhr, December 2013
- [27] International Standardization Organization. ISO 5725-2: Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method. Geneva, 1994.

Merck KGaA Frankfurter Strasse 250 64293 Darmstadt Germany

